

RISK ASSESSMENT FOR EXPERIMENTAL WASTE CONDEMNATION FROM CHICKEN CHALLENGED WITH VARIANT IB VIRAL STRAIN

NADA A. F. EL-SAYED and SAMAH E. ABODALAL

Nadadl@yahoo.com

Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute (VSVRI)

El-Seka El-BidaAbbasia, Cairo. Egypt

(Manuscript received 23 October 2018)

Abstract

Infectious bronchitis virus is an acute and economically important viral disease of chickens. IB is a member of Coronaviridae family it, is an acute disease of respiratory and urogenital tract of chickens. Some IBV strains can cause acute nephritis and urolithiasis associated with high mortality rate in chickens; considering (IBV) which can affect avian and represent a risk for public health, classified as risk group 2. This study, take place in isolators to detect of experimental waste condemnation after challenge variant IB strain in 3 weeks specific pathogen free vaccinated birds and non-vaccinated control. Samples (kidneys and lungs) were collected for detection of pathological changes. No Histopathological lesions were observed, nor virus were detected following challenge. This work was designed to apply biosecurity and biosafety parameter which including biological waste decontamination and disinfection in order to judge about any residual living virus after challenge test

Key words: risk assessment -condemnation of waste –IBV variant strain.

INTRODUCTION

Infectious bronchitis disease is an acute and economically important viral disease of chickens (Cavanagh, 2005). Infectious Bronchitis virus is a member of Coronaviridae family (Cavanagh and Gelb, 2008), it is an acute disease of respiratory and urogenital tract of chickens (Gelb and Jack wood, 2008). Currently corona viruses are classified into 3 groups based on antigenic and genetic relatedness. IBV is in group 3 of genus coronavirus, together with other coronaviruses from other avian species (Cavanagh, 2003). IBV strains able to infect wide range of epithelial tissues of birds in different ages and species, and sometimes able to infect respiratory epithelial cells and other able to infect reproduction system and proventriculus while group 1 and group 2 comprise mammalian coronaviruses that differ from IBV with respect to genome organization and gene sequence (Liu et al., 2009).Some IBV strains can cause acute nephritis and urolithiasis associated with high mortality rate in chickens (Abdel-Moniem et al., 2006; Susan et al., 2011 Reda et al., 2015).Mortalities in young chickens reached to 30% and reach to 25% in less virulent strains which cause less

respiratory signs. Some virus strains causes' severe kidney damage, urolithiasis and high mortalities, these strains reported as variant strains and cause health problems with high economic losses all over the world (*Liu and Kong, 2004*).

Waste disposal is all the activities and actions required to manage waste from its inception to its final disposal. (World Health Organization (2004) this includes other things collection, transport, treatment and disposal of waste together with monitoring and regulation. It also encompasses the legal and regulatory framework that relates to waste management encompassing guidance on recycling. The term normally relates to all kinds of waste, the waste management is intended to reduce adverse effects of waste on health, the environment or aesthetics. Waste management practices are not uniform among countries, and sectors (residential and industrial).(World Health Organization (2004).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Vaccines:

IB inactivated vaccine with titer $10^{6.5}$ logEID₅₀/ ml, was used in this study supplied by VSVRI .

Challenge strain:

Challenge field isolate (Eg/12197B/2012) was kindly supplied by (VSVRI)it was isolated and identified by using reverse transcription PCR from poultry flock (broiler chicken) at 2012. Virus titration was done using microtiter technique according to OIE (2018) and Calculated according To Reed and Muench (1938).

Specific Pathogen Free-Embryonated Chicken Eggs (SPF–ECE):

Specific pathogen free (SPF) embryonated chicken eggs (ECE) o were obtained from the SPF production farm, Koum Oshiem, Fayoum, Egypt. Eggs wereincubated at 37°C with humidity 56% till the age of 9-11 day old and was used for reisolation of IB virus from surface of BSC (bio safety cabinet).

Chicks:

21-day-old SPF chicks were obtained from SPF poultry farm Koum OushimEl-Fayoum-Egypt. These chicks were kept in Specific isolators where present at animal facility at BSL2 (disposal and contaminant inside BSC).

Animal facility:

The animal facility is designed, constructed, and maintained to facilitate cleaning and housekeeping. The interior surfaces (walls, floors and ceilings) are water resistant. Penetrations in floors, walls and ceiling surfaces are sealed, including openings around ducts, doors and doorframes, to facilitate best control and proper cleaning. Floors must be slip-resistant, impervious to liquids, and resistant for chemicals.

Isolators Decontaminated according to biosafety protocols by washing with soap and water and chlorita then fumigated (fumigated twice pre and post entrance of lab animals (birds).

The use of needles and syringes or other sharp instruments in the animal facility is limited to situations blood collection and for collection of organs Sharp instruments must be decontaminated at sharp box, then at autoclave Inner gloves must be worn to protect against break or tears in the outer suit gloves. Disposable gloves must not be worn outside the change area.

latex gloves should be available. Do not wash or reuse disposable gloves. Inner gloves must be removed and discarded in the inner change room prior to entering the personal shower.

Dispose of used gloves with other contaminated waste after the removal of gloves Persons must wash their hands after handling birds and before leaving the areas where infectious materials manipulated.

All protective clothing must be removed in the dirty side change room before entering the personal shower. Reusable laboratory clothing must be autoclaved before being laundered.

Safety Equipment:

The following were put in consideration according to BMBL (2015):

1. The wearing of laboratory coats, gowns, and /or uniforms is recommended. Laboratory coats remain in the animal room.
2. Gloves must not be worn outside the animal rooms
3. Gloves and personal protective equipment should be removed in a manner that prevents transfer of infectious materials.
4. Gloves must be worn to protect hands from exposure to hazardous materials. Glove selection should be based on an appropriate risk assessment. Alternatives to latex gloves should be available. Gloves must not be worn outside the laboratory. In addition, BSL-2 laboratory workers should:
 - a. Change gloves when contaminated, glove integrity is compromised, or when otherwise necessary.
 - b. Remove gloves and wash hands when work with hazardous materials has been completed and before leaving the laboratory.
 - c. Do not wash or reuse disposable gloves. Dispose of used gloves with other contaminated laboratory waste. Hand washing protocols must be rigorously followed.
5. Eye and respiratory protection should be used in rooms containing infected birds.

Disinfectants and soap and waste disposal program

1. Soap and water
2. Verkon s
3. Clorita
4. Dettol.
5. 70% ethanol.
6. Formaldehyde (for fumigation).

Disinfectants and soap	Percent used (%)
Soap and water	1:10
Verkon s	1:10
Clorita ®	1:25
Dettol ®	1:20
Formaldehyde (for fumigation)	KMno4:H2O:Formaldahyde (1:1:2)
Alcohol	70%

Soap and water were used firstly for washing the animal facility, isolators and laboratory. Then using clorita® then Dettol® and verikon s for decontamination. Also verikon s used for decontamination of BSC (biosafety cabinet).

70% ethanol used according to laboratory biosafety manual WHO (2004) spill cleanup procedures used inside BSC during work and after work.

Fumigation according to laboratory biosafety manual WHO (2004)) using paraform aldehyde.

Sharp container according to (BMBL 2009):

A sharps container is a hard plastic container that is used to safely disposal of needles and other sharp instruments needles are dropped into the container through an opening in the top should never be pushed or forced into the container, as damage to the container and needle stick injuries may result. Sharps containers should not be filled above the indicated line, usually two-thirds full.

Careful management of needles and other sharps are of primary importance. Needles must not be bent, sheared, broken, recapped, and removed from disposable syringes.

Histopathology:

Lungs and Kidneys from dead and sacrificed chicks were collected in 10 per cent neutral buffered formalin. The tissues were embedded in paraffin (50- 60°C) and

sections were cut to 4-6 μ thickness and stained with haematoxylin and eosin for microscopic examination Nakamura *et al.* (1991).

All organs after Histopathological examination are collected in sterilized bags for incineration.

Experimental design:

Three groups of 50 spf chicks Each were used in the study .birds in group(1) were vaccinated via the nasal route with recommended dose while birds in groups (2&3) were kept as central (+ve&-ve) respectively.

Three weeks after vaccination, chickens of group 1 and group2 groups were challenged with 100 μ lof (eg\12197ba2d2) challenge virus at dose of 4.0log₁₀EID₅₀ per 0.1ml administrated via oculonasal route. Group3 was left as unchallenged control. Following challenge, all birds were observed daily for clinical signs Attributable to IB infection following challenge on 3rd, 5th and 7thdays, the trachea, and kidney were collected for pathological examination.

All birds were observed daily for clinical signs attribute to IB infection.

All equipment used for collecting organs like (scalpel, forceps, petri dish) were washed and disinfected firstly, then sterilized incinerator (stainless steel and glass ware).

Collected organs after pathological examination were disinfected,packed in incineration bag and labeled then subjected for complete incineration.

Swaps were taken after decontamination from isolator, challenge room, BSC biosafety cabinet from all corners and from used instruments after sterilization and SPF egg (10\sample) were inoculated with 0.1ml /egg from diluted swaps in 2 ml physiological saline.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The number of high level biosafety laboratory had a rapid increase due to the occurrence and spread of highly pathogenic infectious disease. The objective of the high level biosafety laboratory is pathogenic microorganism with high human and environmental risks. Thus it becomes a "hot spot " for the Worldwide governments how to avoid these environmental effects of pathogenic microorganisms. Q.G. Wang *et al.*, (2012).

The high level biosafety laboratory had a rapid increase due to the occurrence and spread of highly pathogenic infectious disease. For the environmental risk assessment of laboratory biosafety, pathogenic microorganisms are the most important determining factor influencing the bio-hazard assessment and environmental risk assessment (Mikkelsen T. (2003) and Zhao G., *et al.*, 2008).

Awareness of the routes of transmission for the natural human disease is helpful in identifying probable routes of transmission in the laboratory and the potential for any risk to the public health.

Workers are the first line of defense for protecting themselves, others in the laboratory, and the public from exposure to hazardous agents. Protection depends on the conscientious and proficient use of good microbiological practices and the correct use of safety equipment. A risk assessment should identify any potential deficiencies in the practices of the laboratory workers. Carelessness is the most serious concern, because it can compromise any safeguards of the laboratory and increase the risk for coworkers. Training, experience, knowledge of the agent and procedure hazards, good habits, caution, attentiveness, and concern for the health of coworkers are prerequisites for a laboratory staff in order to reduce the inherent risks that attend work with hazardous agents. Not all workers who join a laboratory staff will have these prerequisite traits even though they may possess excellent scientific credentials. Laboratory directors or principal investigators should train and retrain new staff to the point where aseptic techniques and safety precautions become second nature. (Lennette.,1973).

Sharps waste is of great concern in developing and transitional regions of the world. Factors such as high disease prevalence and lack of health care professionals amplify the dangers involved with sharps waste, and the cost of newer disposal technology makes them unlikely to be used (Simonsen, *Et al*; 1999). Improper sharps management is a major factor involved in what is categorized as unsafe injections (Dziekan, *et al*; 2003)

Table 1. summarized the used disinfectant object and reisolation of IB virus after experimental trials.

Disinfectants	Objects			
	BSC	Isolator	Lab room	Animal room
Soap and water	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve
Verkon s	-ve	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied
Clorita ®	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve
Dettol ®	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve
Formaldehyde(for fumigation)	Not applied	Not applied	-ve	-ve
Alcohol	-ve	Not used	Not used	Not used

Nb:-ve no virus detected by reisolation.

No virus detected by re isolation after using different types of disinfection

IN CONCLUSION

Results displayed in table1revealed that waste contamination are sharps containers filled above the indicated line, usually two-thirds full. Unlocking and unsalable sharps containers, so that sharps easily penetrate through the sides. A feedback report on finding had been provided for biosafety committee and authorities for target training for responsible persons.

REFERENCES

1. Abdel-Moneim, A.S; El-Kady, M.F; Ladman B.S & Gelb J. Jr: 2006. S1 gene sequence analysis of a nephropathogenic strain of avian infectious bronchitis virus in Egypt. *Virology*. J 3:78.
2. Alvarado, I.R.; Villegas, P.; El-Attrache, J. &Brown, T.P. 2003. Evaluation of the protectionconferred by commercial vaccines against theCalifornia 99 isolate of infectious bronchitisvirus. *Avian Dis*. 47: 1298-1304.
3. BMBL. 2009. *Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories*fifth edition .
4. Cavanagh, D andGelb,J(2008): *J. Infectious bronchitis*. In: Saif YM, FadlyAM.
5. Cavanagh, D(2005): *Coronaviruses in poultry and other birds*. *Avian Pathology* 2005; 34:439-448.
6. Cavanagh, D. 2003. Severe acute respiratory syndrome vaccine development: experiences of vaccination against avian infectious bronchitis coronavirus. *Avian Pathology* 2003; 32:567-82
7. Cavanagh, D., & Gelb, J. Jnr. 2008. *Infectious Bronchitis”, Diseases of Poultry*, 4, Blackwell, 117- 135
8. Cook, J.K.A.; Orbell, S.J.; Woods, M.A. & Huggins M.B. 1999. Breadth of protection ofthe respiratory tract provided by different liveattenuated infectious bronchitis vaccines against challenge with infectious bronchitis virus of heterologous serotypes. *Avian Pathol*. 28:477-
9. Dziekan G, Chisolm D, Johns B, Rovira J, Hutin Y. 2003. The cost-effectiveness of policies for the safe and appropriate use of injection in healthcare settings. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 81(4).
10. Lennette EH. 1973. common sense in the laboratory: recommendations and priorities. *Biohazards in biological research*. Proceedings of a conference held at the Asilomar conference center; 1973 Jan 22-24; p. 353.
11. Liu, S.W. & Kong, X.G. 2004. A new genotypeof nephropathogenic infectious bronchitis viruscirculating in vaccinated and non-vaccinatedflocks in china. *Avian Pathol*. 33:321-327

12. Mikkelsen T. 2003. Investigation of airborne foot-and-mouth disease virus transmission during low-wind conditions in the early phase of the UK 2001 epidemic. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.* 2003;3: 677.
13. Nakamura, K.; Cook, J.K.A.; Otsuki, K.; Huggins, M.B; & Frazer, J.A. 1991. Comparative study of respiratory lesion in two chicken lines of different susceptibility infected with infectious bronchitis virus: Histology, ultrastructure and immunohistochemistry. *Avian Pathol.* 23:631-641.
14. OIE. 2018. OIE terrestrial manual chapter 2.3.2 infectious bronchitis virus page 3-4.
15. Q.G. Wang*, F. Ding, S.B Li, and X.H. Zhao. 2012. Advance in Environmental Risk Assessment of High Level Biosafety Laboratory *Procedia Environmental Sciences* 13 (2012) 1458 – 1461.
16. Reda, R. Fathy, El-boraay, I. M., El-shorbagy, M. A., Susan, SEL mahdy. 2015. a survey on presence of new strains of infectious bronchitis virus in some chicken farms of Egypt delta provinces during 2014 *benha vet. Med journal*, vol.28, no.2:248-262, 2015
17. Simonsen, L. Kane, A. Lloyd, J. Zaffran, M. Kane, M. 1999. Unsafe injections in the developing world and transmission of blood borne pathogens: a review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 77(10)
18. Susan, S. El-Mahdy; Y.A., Soliman & M.M. El-Hady. 2011. Preparation and evaluation of Master Seed of infectious bronchitis vaccine.
19. Thompson, G.; Mohammed, H.; Buaman, B. & Nagi, S. 1997. Systemic and local antibody responses to infectious bronchitis virus in chickens inoculated with infectious bursal disease virus and control chickens. *Avian Dis.* 41:519-527.
20. WHO World Health Organization. 2004. Proposed agenda to evaluate the risks and benefits associated with using needle-removing devices. Switzerland.
21. Worthington. K.J. ; Savage. C.; Nayloc, C.K.; Wijmenga. W. & Jones R.C. 2004. An RT-PCR survey of infectious bronchitis virus genotypes in the UK and Selected European Countries between 2002 and 2004 and the results from a vaccine trial in proceedings of the IV symposium on avian coronavirus and pneumovirus infections (pp, (125-133). Raui schholzhausen. Germany.
22. Zhao G, Wang G, Fang H, Zou J. 2008. Environmental risk assessment of foot and mouth disease virus research in biosafety level-3 laboratory. *Chinese Pharmaceutical Affairs* 2008; 22(11):971-4 (in Chinese)

تقدير المخاطر الناتجة من نفايات الدواجن بعد اختبار التحدي بعثره فيروس التهاب الشعب المعدي المغايره

ندي عادل فتحي و سماح السيد علي ابو دلال

معهد بحوث الامصال واللقاحات البيطرية ، العباسية ، القاهرة

بعد مرض فيروس التهاب الشعب المعدي مرض حاد ومهم اقتصاديا في مجالات الانتاج الداجني وهذا الفيروس عضو من عائله الكورونا فيريدي ويعد مرض حاد بالجهاز التنفسي والمسالك البوليه التناسليه للدجاج بعض سلالاته يمكن ان تسبب التهاب حاد بالكلية و ترسبات لليوريا على الكلى ويكون مصاحبا لارتفاع معدل الوفيات الدراسه التي تمت في العازلات لكي يتبين كيفيه اعدام النفايات بعد اختبار التحدي ب بعثره فيروس التهاب الشعب المعدي المغايره . في عمر 3 اسابيع تم تحصين مجموعه من الطيور والمجموعه الضابطه (غير محصن) تم عمل اختبار التحدي للمجموعه الطيور المحقونه والمجموعه الضابطه الغير محقونه تم اخذ عينات من الكلى والرئتين لمعرفة التغيرات البيولوجيه التي تحدث داخل الطائر من هذا الفيروس بعد اختبار التحدي وبعد ذلك تم وضع برنامج الطرق والسلامه و الامان الحيوي في اعدام العينات والتخلص من الادوات مع بيان انواع معدات الوقايه الشخصيه التي يتم استخدامها و كيفيه التخلص من الطيور النافقه مما يحد من انتشار المرض عمل تقييم للنتائج.

