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Abstract

This experiment was conducted on the 4th instars larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* to investigate the effect of Protecto, Viruset, Cascade, and Atabron. The effect of the tested compounds on the total protein, total carbohydrate, and total lipids were determined in the 6th instars larvae. After treatment the 4th instars larvae results showed that the treatment of the 4th instar larvae with the LC50 of the tested compounds represented a significant decrease in the amount of total protein, total carbohydrate, and total lipid. Therefore, biopesticides can be used as safe substitutes for chemical insecticides as they have influenced the formation of tissues of treated insects.
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INTRODUCTION

The Egyptian cotton leaf worm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.), is an important pest in Egypt and other countries in Africa and Asia. It causes destructive and extensive economic losses in many cultivated crops (Frank et al., 1990). The problems and hazards that have arisen as a result of using conventional insecticides were incentives for the search of alternative control agents. Microbial pest control agents are a primary means of biological control for insect pests. The use of microbial control agents is targeted for a particular pest species. The entomopathogens have most been used in biological control include representatives of bacteria, fungi, viruses, nematodes, protozoa and insect growth regulator (Dent, 2000).

Since the discovery of the microbial insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt), it has been widely used to control insect pests in agriculture, forestry and medicine. It was primarily used as environmentally safe, alternative, conventional and commercial insecticides. The effects of various commercial products on life cycle aspects as well as growth potential of the pests area promising alternative for insect biocontrol in Egypt (Abd El-Salam et al., 2011).
Baculoviruses, among other insect viruses, are regarded as other safe and selective bio-insecticides, restricted to invertebrates. They have been used worldwide against many insect pests, mainly Lepidoptera. Problems that have limited expansion of baculovirus use include narrow host range, slow killing speed, technical and economic difficulties (Moscardi, 1999). Investigations on the effect of combining sub-lethal doses of baculovirus isolates and Bt strains on lepidopterous insects showed variable effects, ranging from antagonistic to additive effects (Mabrouk, 2001).

The use of the insect growth regulators (IGR’s) for the control of insects of economic importance have been widely acclaimed, either as juvenile or ecdysone hormone mimics, chitin synthesis inhibitor or other compounds (Smagghe and Degheele, 1992 and Smagghe et al., 1995). These compounds interfere with the normal growth or development of insects and their effect could extend to affect the insect’s reproductive potential as well as other effects on the physiology of treated insects (Abdel-Wahed et al., 2011 and Abdel-Aziz, 2012). The present study is an extension of previous studies which dealt with the effects of certain bioagents and IGRs on some metabolic parameters in the cotton leafworm, Spodoptera littoralis (Boisduval). The current investigation was particularly conducted to evaluate the latent effect of tested bioagents and IGRs on the total lipids, total proteins, and total carbohydrates as main components of insect tissues and organs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rearing of S. littoralis (Boisd):

The culture of the cotton leafworm, S. littoralis (Boisd) was initiated from freshly collected eggs masses supplied from the division of cotton leafworm of plant protection research Institute, Dokki, Egypt, formed the basis of the culture designed to provide insects used in the present work. All stages of S. littoralis were cultured and tested at 27±2° C and 70± 5 % R.H. Larval stages were reared on castor bean leaves which were provided daily. The formed pupae were collected and placed in clean Jars with moist saw dust placed at the base to provide the pupation site. Adults were provided with 10% sugar solution.

Toxicological studies:

Second and fourth instar larvae were treated separately. Five replicates each containing 10 larvae was tested using leaf-dipping technique (Tabashnik et al., 1991). Fresh and clean castor leaves were immersed for 10 seconds in the prepared suspensions of the compounds under test. The treated leaves were then left to dry at room temperature before being offered to the test larvae.
For the control experiment, the same numbers of larvae were offered fresh clean castor leaves dipped in distilled water. Mortality was recorded daily and cumulative larval mortality was determined at the end of the larval stage.

**Biochemical studies:**

For biochemical analysis, thirty healthy and treated as 4th larval instars with LC$_{50}$ of tested compound, Protecto, Viruset, Cascade, and Atabron, 6th larval instars were weighted and kept under freezing conditions at -20°C.

**Sample Preparation:**

One gram of larvae at the 6th larval instar that were treated as 4th instar larvae with LC50 of tested compounds and survived treatment were weighed. These larvae were then homogenized in physiological saline solution (NaCl 8.8 g., KCl 0.2 g., and CaCl$_2$ 0.3 g./liter, PH 6.7-6.8) with traces of phenylthiourea crystals using a glass homogenizer for 3 min. Control specimen was obtained by homogenizing healthy larvae through the same technique. Homogenates were centrifuged at 8000 r.p.m. for 15 min. The supernatant was used directly or stored at -20°C until needed for biochemical determination. All the biochemical determinations were done at pest physiology department, plant protection research institute, A.R.C., Egypt.

**Total proteins**

Total proteins were determined by the method of Bradford (1976). Protein reagent was prepared by dissolving 100 mg. of Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 in 50 ml. 95% ethanol. Solution of 100 ml. 85% (w/v) phosphoric acid were added. The resulting solution was diluted to a final volume of 1 liter. Sample solution (50 μl) for preparation of standard curve 50 μl of serial concentrations containing 10 to 100 μg bovin serum albumin were pipetted into test tubes. The volume in the test tube was adjusted to 0.1 ml. with phosphate buffer (PH 6.6). 5 millimeters of protein reagent were added to test tube and the contents were mixed either by inversion or vortexing. The absorbance at 595 nm. was measured after 2 min. and before 1h. against blank prepared from 0.1 ml of phosphate buffer (PH 6.6) and 5 ml. of protein reagent. Total protein was expressed as mg/g.b.w.

**Total carbohydrates:**

Total carbohydrates were estimated in acid extract of the sample by the phenol-sulphuric acid reaction of Dubois, et al. (1956). Total carbohydrates were extracted from the sample and prepared for assay according to Crompton and Birt (1967). Sample was homogenized in 0.3N HClO$_4$ (5ml.) at 0°C for 1 min. The homogenate was kept in ice for further 10 min. Insoluble matter was removed by centrifugation for 3 min at 2000 r.p.m and washed twice in ice cold HClO$_4$ (5ml) by redispertion and centrifugation. The three supernatant combined into acid extract.
Hundred microliters of the acid extract were added into a colorimetric tube to 0.5 ml. of phenol (20 percent w/v). Then 5 ml. of concentrated sulfuric acid were added rapidly with shaking. The tubes were allowed to stand 10 min., and then they were shaken and placed for 10-20 min in water bath at 25 to 30°C before reading. Blanks were prepared by substituting distilled water for the sugar solution. The absorbance of characteristic yellow-orange color is measured at 490 nm against blank. Total carbohydrate is expressed as μg glucose/gm fresh weight.

**Total lipids:**

Total lipids were estimated by the method of Knight, et al. (1972) using phosphovanillin reagent prepared by dissolving of 0.6 gm. pure vanillin in 10 ml. ethanol and completed to 100 ml. with distilled water. Then 400 ml conc. Phosphoric acid was added. 250 μl of sample were added to conc. Sulphuric acid (5ml.) in a test tube and heated in a boiling water bath for 10 min. After cooling to room temperature, the digest was added to phosphovanillin reagent (6 ml.). After 45 min., the devolved color was measured at 525 nm. against reagent blank. Optical density was compared to that of a reference standard and results expressed as mg. lipids/ml. heamolymph.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Bioassay test:**

Data in table (1) showed larval mortality rates due to treatment of the 2nd and 4th larval instars with different concentrations of the used bioinsecticides and the IGRs. LC25, LC50, and LC90 values were determined for both 2nd and 4th larval instars. Protecto exhibited a high toxic effect than the viruset.

Atabron was the most toxic compound in all tested compounds, evidenced by the very low LC25, LC50, and LC90 values. The tested bioagents did not result in instant mortality, however, mortality rates increased at the end of the larval stage. The 2nd larval instar was more susceptible to all tested compounds than the 4th larval instar.

Table 1. Susceptibility of the cotton leaf worm, *Spodoptera littoralis*, to tested compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested compounds</th>
<th>Larval instar</th>
<th>LC25 (gm/ml)</th>
<th>LC50 (gm/ml)</th>
<th>LC90 (gm/ml)</th>
<th>Slope ± S.E.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protecto</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>8.7×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1.7×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.29±0.0297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>1.4×10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.21±0.0225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viruset</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>4.8×10⁻⁹</td>
<td>1.1×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.20±0.0252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>4.7×10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1×10⁻⁴</td>
<td>3.134</td>
<td>0.29±0.0315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atabron</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>0.43×10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>0.3×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.68×10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.35±0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>0.83×10⁻⁹</td>
<td>1.06×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>1.68×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>1.45±0.2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>0.2×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>0.12×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>0.64×10⁻⁷</td>
<td>0.025±0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>0.4×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>0.16×10⁻⁸</td>
<td>0.387×10⁻⁶</td>
<td>2.45±0.222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of treatment of the 4th larval instar with LC$_{50}$ of bioinsecticides and IGRs on (total carbohydrates, total protein and total lipids) is shown in tables (2).

Results given in Table (2) indicated that all tested insecticides led to decrease in total carbohydrates which more obvious with Atabron, compared with control. Total carbohydrates content were 41.2 ± 0.80, 40.06 ± 0.6, 40.33 ± 0.6 and 39.36 ± 0.39 (mg/g.b.wt) for Protecto, Viruset, Cascade, and Atabron, respectively, while it was 44.2 ± 0.7 (mg/g.b.wt) with control.

Obtained results showed that the total protein content of S. littoralis 6th instar larvae treated as 4th instar larvae were decreased in all tested compounds. The total protein were 48.6 ± 1.5, 56.6 ± 1.3, 69.63 ± 0.6 and 60.73 ± 0.8 (mg/g.b.wt) with Protecto, Viruset, Cascade and Atabron, respectively, compared with control 75.7± 2.05 (mg/g.b.wt).

All used compounds was caused significantly decrease in the total lipids, Cascade was the most effective compound (37.73 ± 1.37), followed by Viruset, (38.36 ± 0.79), Protecto, (38.9 ± 0.6) finally Atabron (39.06 ± 0.475), compared with control (44.26 ± 2.84).

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Anwar and Abd El-Mageed (2005) and Abdel-Ghany (2011) who found that reduction in carbohydrate content, total lipid and total protein of S. littoralis larvae when treated with castor oil, gossypol, diflubenzuron, tebufenozide, hexaflumuron, flufenoxuron, chlorfluazuron and lufenuron. Similarly Abou Zeid (1998) on Ostrinia nubilalis (Hübner) larvae, Shaurub et al. (1998) on ovarioles of S. littoralis and Sundari (1998) on Euproctis fraternal (Moore) who observed a significant reduction in carbohydrates content when treated with Piper nigrum L., respectively.

The obtained results also are in agreement with the results of Kamel et al. (2010) who studied the effect of commercial formulations of Bt (Agerin, Dipel 2X and Dipel DF) on larvae of S. littoralis. Obtained data were also similar to data obtained by Assar, et al. (2016) who found that the total protein content of 4th instars of S. littoralis was decreased with all tested insecticides. The total protein was 30.3, 27.9 and 26.9 (mg/g.b.wt) with emamectin benzoate, hexaflumuron and teflubenzuron, respectively.

Table 2. Total proteins, total carbohydrates and total lipids activity in 4th instar larvae of Spodoptera littoralis after treatment with Protecto, Viruset, Cascade, and Atabron.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested Compounds</th>
<th>Total Lipids (gm./g b.w.)</th>
<th>Total proteins (gm./g b.w.)</th>
<th>Total carbohydrates (gm./g b.w.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Mean ± S.E)</td>
<td>(Mean ± S.E)</td>
<td>(Mean ± S.E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecto</td>
<td>41.2 ± 0.80</td>
<td>48.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>38.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viruset</td>
<td>40.06 ± 0.6</td>
<td>56.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>38.36 ± 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade</td>
<td>40.33 ± 0.6</td>
<td>69.63 ± 0.6</td>
<td>37.73 ± 1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atabron</td>
<td>39.36 ± 0.39</td>
<td>60.73 ± 0.8</td>
<td>39.06 ± 0.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>44.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>75.7 ± 2.05</td>
<td>44.26 ± 2.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means followed by the same small letter in a column are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability (Duncan's Multiple Range Test).
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TÁTHIR BÁSST MAHKÁBÁT AL-BIYÁKIIYÁH WAMÚNTAMÁT NIMÁTÍ HÁSHYÁH
AL-MAJÁSS AL-BIYÁKIMIYÁH LÁDÁDÁ DÁRÁT AL-QÁTTÁN

UALAM HÁSN ÚBÁDUL SÁLÁM 1, 'AMÁL MUHÁMAD MÝRÚK 2.
HÁLÁ AHMÁD KÁMÁL AL-SÝRÍYÁ 1 WÁNNÍNH MUHÁMAD FÁ'ÝZ RÝZQ 2

1. JAMÁÁAH AL-MANSÚRÁ - KILLÁL AL-ZÁRÁÁWÁ - QISM AL-HÁSHÁRÁT AL-ÁQTÁSÁDIYÁH.
2. MÁYÝD AL-BÁHÁÁWÁ WÁQÁÁY ÁL-NABÁÁTÁ - AL-DÉCH AL-ÁJIRÁ - MÁRSAR.

TÁM ÊJIRÁÁH HÁDÁH ATÁRHÁBÁ ÓLÁ YÁRÁÁT ELM ÍRÁÁT ABAÁDÁ DÁRÁT AL-QÁTTÁN BÁSSÁDÁM MÁHKÁBÁT
AL-BÁRTÁKÁ, AL-FÁRÝÁST, KÁSÁKSÍD WA TÁHRÝÁNN. TÁM MAMÁLÁAM YÁRÁÁT ELM ÍRÁÁT ABAÁDÁ BÁLÁ TÁRKÍZ NÁKÁF QÁÁL
LJMÁÁJ MAHKÁBÁT MÝL AL-DÁÁSÁÁ. WÁM QIÁÁS TÁÁLÁIR MAHKÁBÁT ÚLÁ MÁMÁLÁÁ AL-BÁRTÁNN TÁLÁL KÁRÁBHÁDIYÁH
WÁLAL-ĐÁÁHNN NÁLMÁÁ JABÁÁRÁ WÁLAL-ĐÁÁHNN MÁÁL MAMÁLÁÁ YÁRÁÁT ELM ÍRÁÁT ABAÁDÁ
ÁBAÁDÁ. ÁWÁSHÁT LÁNTÁNÁ TÁKS MÁNNÁF FU MÁÁMÁLÁÁ AL-BÁRTÁNN TÁLÁL KÁRÁBHÁDIYÁH WÁLAL-ĐÁÁHNN MÁÁL
MAMÁLÁÁ YÁRÁÁT ELM ÍRÁÁT ABAÁDÁ WA LÁNLÁÁ NYÁLÁÁ MÁÁ NÁLMÁÁ AL-MÁHKÁBÁT AL-MAMÁLÁÁ WA LÁNLÁÁ MÁÁL
MAMÁLÁÁ YÁRÁÁT AL-KÁÁBHÁDIYÁH KÁBKÁÁL ÁMÁNÉ
AL-MÁHKÁBÁT AL-KIMIYÁÁH JÍÁÁ MÁÁÁ ÁLÁHÁ ÁLÁRÁÁBI AL-MÁHSÁÁH JÁÁHÉ.